SOCIODEMOGRAPHIC DATA USED FOR IDENTIFYING POTENTIALLY HIGHLY EXPOSED POPULATIONS

National Center for Environmental Assessment-W Office of Research and Development U.S. Environmental Protection Agency Washington, DC 20460

DISCLAIMER

This document has been reviewed in accordance with U.S. Environmental Protection Agency policy and approved for publication. Mention of trade names or commercial products does not constitute endorsement or recommendation for use.

FOREWORD

The National Center for Environmental Assessment (NCEA) of the U.S. Environmental Protection Agency's Office of Research and Development (ORD) has five main functions: (1) providing risk assessment research, methods, and guidelines; (2) performing health and ecological assessments; (3) developing, maintaining, and transferring risk assessment information and training; (4) helping ORD set research priorities; and (5) developing and maintaining resource support systems for NCEA. The activities under each of these functions are supported by and respond to the needs of the various program offices. In relation to the first function, NCEA sponsors projects aimed at developing or refining techniques used in exposure assessments.

This document is being published as a companion to the *Exposure Factors Handbook*. Due to unique activity patterns, preferences, practices, and biological differences, various segments of the population may experience exposures different from those of the general population, and these exposures, in many cases, may be greater. It is necessary for risk or exposure assessors characterizing a diverse population to identify and enumerate certain groups within the general population who are at risk for greater contaminant exposures or exhibit a heightened sensitivity to particular chemicals. This document provides information, where possible, for addressing these populations.

Michael A. Callahan, Director National Center for Environmental Assessment Washington Office

PREFACE

The National Center for Environmental Assessment (NCEA) has prepared this document to assist scientists and concerned communities in identifying subsets of the general population who might experience more frequent contact with and greater exposures to environmental contaminants. Furthermore, this document provides demographic data to help users determine the number of people in these potentially highly exposed subsets of the general population.

The 1994 Executive Order on Federal Actions to Address Environmental Justice in Minority Population and Low-Income Populations emphasized the importance of protecting minority and low-income communities from disproportionate environmental hazards and effects. In addition to low-income and minority populations, other populations categorized by age, gender, and location, to name a few, are candidates for potentially increased exposures depending on the given scenario. This document was initiated because previous efforts focused predominantly on factors, data, and scenarios based on national averages for the general population. To provide protection to highly exposed populations, risk and exposure assessments must consider relevant and more accurate data that pertain to these populations.

The current document results from revisions and narrowing of content scope from several NCEA draft documents, including *Exposure Factors for Specific Demographic and Ethnic Subgroups* (March 1995), which presented exposure data that were found to correlate significantly with ethnicity. Significant portions of that document were incorporated into the revised *Exposure Factors Handbook* that was published in the Fall of 1997. Remaining materials became the basis for the draft document *Identifying Susceptible Populations* (March 1996), which provided information to help assessors identify and enumerate populations potentially at risk for increased exposures and at risk due to heightened biological sensitivities to environmental contaminants. The above draft documents were reviewed by staff members from the U.S. Environmental Protection Agency who offered comments that have led to the current document, *Sociodemographic Data Used for Identifying Potentially Highly Exposed Populations*. The major difference between this draft and previous drafts is that biologically sensitive data are not

addressed and the scope has been expanded to include additional categories of highly exposed populations in addition to ethnicity.

The data and population subsets presented are not intended to be comprehensive or prescriptive. This document does not include all possible populations and does not include guidance for identifying and enumerating all populations under every circumstance. The inclusion of a specific population in this document is not intended to imply that the specific population addressed is more likely than the general population to experience potentially high exposures to a given contaminant. Likewise, the reader should not conclude that all members of a population included in the text will necessarily experience greater exposures to a given contaminant.

This document addresses potential exposure to a single contaminant, source, or stressor. To address the areas, multiple and cumulative risks is not within the scope of this document. The guidelines on EPA's risk assessment approach are shifting towards greater consideration for multiple endpoints, sources, pathway and routes of exposure, and all the environmental media, etc. EPA's Science Policy Council has developed a document entitled, "Guidance on Cumulative Risk Assessment, Part 1. Planning and Scoping." This document is available on EPA's web site at the following address: http://www.epa.gov/ORD/spc/cumrisk2.htm. The document can be downloaded using Adobe Acrobat software, which is available at no cost from Adobe. The Adobe Internet address is: http://www.adobe.com.

AUTHORS, CONTRIBUTORS, AND REVIEWERS

The National Center for Environmental Assessment (NCEA) was responsible for the preparation of this handbook. The original document was prepared by Versar, Inc., under EPA Contract No. 68-D3-0013, Work Assignment No. 2-31. Revisions, updates, and additional preparation were provided by Versar, Inc., under EPA Contract No. 68-D5-0051, Work Assignment Nos. 3-24 and 97V-9. Amy Amina Wilkins, NCEA-Washington Office, served as the EPA work assignment manager for each effort, providing overall direction and coordination of the production effort as well as technical assistance and guidance and as a contributing author.

AUTHORS

Patricia Wood Maggie Wilson Aderonke Adenuga Susan Anderson Linda Phillips

Versar, Inc. Springfield, VA

CONTRIBUTERS

A. Amina Wilkins

Exposure Analysis and Characterization Group, National Center for Environmental Assessment, U.S. EPA

John Schaum

Exposure Analysis and Characterization Group, National Center for Environmental Assessment, U.S. EPA

INTERNAL REVIEWERS

Jerry Blondell

Office of Pollution Prevention and Toxics (OPPT), Office of Pesticide Programs, U.S. EPA

Mark Dow

OPPT, Office of Pesticide Programs, U.S. EPA

Loren Hall

OPPT, Office of Policy Planning and Evaluation, U.S. EPA

Marty Halper

Office of Environmental Equity, U.S. EPA

Karen Hammerstrom

NCEA, Intermediate Office, U.S. EPA

Ed Ohanian

Office of Water, Office of Science and Technology, U.S. EPA

Susan Perlin

NCEA, Exposure Analysis and Risk Characterization Group, U.S. EPA

James Walker

NCEA, Effects Identification and Characterization Group, U.S. EPA

Chieh Wu

NCEA, Exposure Analysis and Risk Characterization Group, U.S. EPA

EXTERNAL REVIEWERS

Mary English

University of Tennessee

Jean Grassman

National Institute of Environmental Health Sciences (NIEHS)

Cynthia Harris

College of Pharmacy, Florida A&M University

Brian Kaplan

Agency for Toxic Substances and Disease Registry (ATSDR)

Laura Montgomery

Office of Analysis and Epidemiology, Center for Disease Control (CDC)

Andrew McBride Connecticut Department of Health

Olivia Carter-Pokras Office of Minority Health, U.S. Department of Human and Health Services (DHHS)

Ken Sexton School of Public Health, University of Minnesota

CONTENTS

1.	INT	RODUCT	ΓΙΟΝ	1-1
	1.1.	TERMI	NOLOGY USED TO DEFINE CONCEPTS RELATING TO	
		EXPOS	URE	1-3
		1.1.1.	Exposure	1-3
		1.1.2.	High End, Upper End, Exposure Distribution	1-3
		1.1.3.	Susceptibility, Highly Exposed, Biologically Sensitive	
	1.2.	IDENTI	IFYING THE POTENTIALLY HIGHLY EXPOSED POPULATION.	
		1.2.1.	Chemical(s) of Concern	
		1.2.2.	Age	
		1.2.3.	Gender	
		1.2.4.	Lifestyle, Behavior, and Social Structure	
		1.2.5.	Personal Health	
	1.3.		ERATION OF VARIOUS HIGHLY EXPOSED POPULATIONS	
		1.3.1.	Framework of Methods	
		1.3.2.	Contact With Chemicals in the Ambient Environment (All Media)	
		1.3.3.	Chemical Contact Resulting From Disposal Activities	
		1.3.4.	Chemical Contact in Occupational Setting	
		1.3.5.	Ingestion of Chemicals in Foods	
		1.3.6.	Contact With Contaminants in Consumer Products	
		1.3.7.	Ingestion of Chemicals in Drinking Water	
	1.4.		O USE THIS DOCUMENT	
		1.4.1.	Examples of Exposure Scenarios	
		1.4.2.	Identifying Potentially Highly Exposed Population on the Basis of	
		,,,,	Exposure Pathway	1-14
		1.4.3.	Identifying Potentially Highly Exposed Population on the Basis of	
		11.101	Chemical/Contaminant	1-14
	1.5.	DOCUN	MENT ORGANIZATION	
			ENCES	
	1.0.	TEL LIC	2.026	1 10
2.	SOC	IODEMO	OGRAPHIC CHARACTERISTICS OF THE GENERAL U.S.	
			N	2-1
			ENT POPULATION BY GENDER AND AGE	
			ENT POPULATION BY RACE	
			ENT POPULATION BY AGE, RACE, AND HISPANIC ORIGIN	
			ENT POPULATION BY GEOGRAPHIC REGION	
	2.5		L AND ECONOMIC CHARACTERISTICS OF THE GENERAL	23
	2.5		PULATION	2-4
	2.6	RESIDE	ENT POPULATION BY HOUSEHOLD	2-5

	2.7.	URBAN	AND RURAL U.S. POPULATION BY REGION, DIVISION, AND	
		STATE		2-5
	2.8.	RESIDE	NT POPULATION WITH WORK DISABILITIES	2-6
	2.9.	NATIVE	E AND FOREIGN-BORN RESIDENT POPULATIONS	2-6
	2.10.		RESIDENT POPULATION ON ACTIVE DUTY IN THE MILITARY	2-6
	2.11.		RESIDENT INSTITUTIONALIZED POPULATIONS AND THOSE	
			LIVING IN	
		GROUP	QUARTERS	2-6
	2.12.		TRENDS IN SOCIODEMOGRAPHIC CHARACTERISTICS OF THE	
		GENER.	AL U.S. POPULATION	2-7
		2.12.1.	Trends in Gender and Age Characteristics of the General U.S. Population . 3	2-7
		2.12.2.	Trends in Demographics of Race and Ethnic Characteristics of the	
			General U.S. Population	2-7
		2.12.3.	Trends in Regional Distribution of the General U.S. Population	2-8
		2.12.4.	Trends in Demographics of Social and Economic Characteristics of the	
			General U.S. Population	2-8
		2.12.5.	Trends in Demographics of Distribution by Households of the General U.S.	
			1	2-9
		2.12.6.	Trends in Demographics of Urban and Rural U.S. Population 2-	
		2.12.7.	Trends in Demographics of Resident Population With Disabilities 2-	-10
		2.12.8.	Trends in Demographics of Native and Foreign-Born Resident	
			Populations	-10
		2.12.9.	Trends in Demographics of Resident Population on Active Duty in the	
			Military	-10
		2.12.10.	Trends in Demographics of Resident Populations Living in Institutions	
			and Group Quarters 2-	
	2.13.		REFERENCES	-12
3.			OF RESIDENCE AS A FACTOR LEADING TO HIGHLY EXPOSED	
			NS	
	3.1.		ATIONS LIVING NEAR WASTE MANAGEMENT FACILITIES 3	
		3.1.1.	ATSDR Biennial Report to Congress 1991 and 1992 (ATSDR, 1996) 3	3-3
		3.1.2.	Distribution of Industrial Air Emissions by Income and Race in the	
			United States: An Approach Using the Toxics Release Inventory	
	2.2	DODIH	(Perlin et al., 1995)	3-3
	<i>5.2.</i>		ATIONS LIVING IN THE INNER CITIES OF LARGE METROPOLITAN	
	2.2		ATIONS I BUNG IN UDDAN ADEAS	
			ATIONS LIVING IN COASTAL AREAS	
	<i>3</i> .4.	POPULA	ATIONS LIVING IN COASTAL AREAS	5-5

	3.5.	POPULATIONS LIVING ON NATIVE AMERICAN RESERVATIONS OR	
		TRUST LANDS	
	3.6.	POPULATIONS LIVING NEAR MAJOR HIGHWAYS	3-6
	3.7.	REFERENCES	3-8
4.		IDENTIAL FACTORS AFFECTING EXPOSURE	
	4.1.	POPULATIONS IN HOMES WITH DIFFERENT CHARACTERISTICS	4-1
		4.1.1. American Housing Survey for the United States in 1993 (U.S. Bureau	
		of the Census, 1993); Statistical Abstract of the United States (U.S. Bureau	
		of the Census, 1997)	
		4.1.2. Screening Young Children for Lead Poisoning (CDC, 1997)	4-2
		4.1.3. National Human Activity Pattern Survey (NHAPS) (Tsang and	
		Klepeis, 1996)	4-2
	4.2.	POPULATIONS WHO USE PESTICIDES AND CHEMICALS FOR LAWN/	
		GARDEN AND POOL/SPA MAINTENANCE	4-3
		4.2.1. National Home and Garden Pesticide Use Survey (Whitmore et al.,	
		1992)	4-4
		4.2.2. 1993 Pool and Spa Market Study (National Spa and Pool Institute,	
		1993)	
	4.3.	REFERENCES	4-6
5.	BUI	LDINGS OTHER THAN RESIDENCES	5-1
	5.1.	POPULATIONS IN SCHOOLS/COLLEGES	5-1
	5.2.	POPULATIONS IN DAY CARE CENTERS	5-3
	5.3.	POPULATIONS IN HOSPITALS	5-3
		POPULATIONS IN NURSING HOMES	
	5.5.	REFERENCES	5-5
6.	OTH	IER ACTIVITIES INCLUDING SUBSISTENCE, FISHING, RECREATION, AND	
	_	BBIES	
	6.1.	FISHING AND HUNTING	6-1
	6.2.	HOME GARDENING	6-3
	6.3.	DO-IT-YOURSELFERS	6-4
		HOBBYISTS	
	6.5.	EXERCISE/SPORT ACTIVITIES	6-5
	6.6	REFERENCES	6-6

7.	ACT	TVITIES	(OCCUPATIONAL)	7-1
	7.1.	POPUL	ATION EMPLOYED	7-1
	7.2.	POPUL	ATIONS EMPLOYED IN DETAILED INDUSTRIAL AND	
		OCCUP	ATIONAL CATEGORIES	7-3
	7.3.	POPUL	ATIONS IN PUBLIC BUILDINGS	7-3
			ATIONAL STUDIES ADDRESSING MINORITY POPULATIONS	
			ENCES	
8.	BEH	IAVIORA	AL AND/OR CULTURAL PRACTICES	8-1
	8.1.	ACTIVI	TY PATTERNS	8-1
		8.1.1.	National Human Activity Pattern Survey (NHAPS) (Tsang and Klepeis,	
			1996)	8-1
		8.1.2.	Time Spent in Activities, Locations, and Microenvironments: A	
			California-National Comparison (Robinson and Thomas, 1991)	8-2
	8.2.	PICA ST	ΓUDIES	8-2
		8.2.1.	Reported Incidence of Pica Among Migrant Families (Bruhn and	
			Pangborn, 1971)	8-3
		8.2.2.	Geophagia in Rural Mississippi: Environmental and Cultural Contexts	
			and Nutritional Implications (Vermeer and Frate, 1979)	8-4
	8.3.	SMOKI	NG, DRUG USE, AND ALCOHOL CONSUMPTION	
		8.3.1.	Results From the National School-Based 1991 Youth Risk Behavior	
			Survey and Progress Toward Achieving Related Health Objectives for	
			the Nation (Kann et al., 1993)	8-5
		8.3.2.	Cigarette Smoking and Cessation Behaviors Among Urban Blacks and	
			Whites (Hahn et al., 1990)	8-6
		8.3.3.	Sociodemographic Characteristics of Cigarette Smoking Initiation in the	
			United States (Escobedo et al., 1990)	8-7
		8.3.4.	Statistical Abstract of the United States (U.S. Bureau of the Census,	
			1995)	8-8
		8.3.5.	Trends in Indian Health (U.S. Department of Health and Human Services,	
			1993)	8-9
	8.4.	CULTU	RAL USE OF MERCURY	8-9
	8.5.	REFERI	ENCES	8-11

9.	DRI	NKING V	WATER AND FOOD	. 9-1
	9.1.	POPUL	ATION CONSUMING DRINKING WATER BY SOURCE OF WATER	
		SUPPLY	Υ	. 9-1
	9.2.	POPUL	ATION USING BOTTLED WATER	. 9-1
	9.3.	POPUL	ATION BREASTFEEDING	. 9-2
	9.4.	POPUL	ATION CONSUMING SELECTED FOODS/FOOD GROUPS	. 9-3
	9.5.	REFERI	ENCES	. 9-5
10.		SOCIO	ECONOMICS	
	10.1.		POVERTY THRESHOLD ESTIMATES	10-1
	10.2.		INCOME LEVEL	10-1
		10.2.1.	Digest of Education Statistics (U.S. Department of Education, 1995)	10-1
		10.2.2.	March Current Population Survey (U.S. Bureau of the Census, 1995b)	10-2
		10.2.3.	Trends in Indian Health (U.S. Department of Health and Human	
			Services, 1993)	10-2
		10.2.4.	Inner-City AsthmaThe Epidemiology of an Emerging U.S. Public	
			Health Concern (Weiss et al, 1992)	10-2
		10.2.5.	Nutrition Intakes of Individuals from Food-Insufficient Households in the	
			United States (Rose and Oliveira, 1997)	10-3
	10.3.		HOMELESS POPULATION	10-3
	10.4.		REFERENCES	10-5
11.		ELECTI	RONIC AND OTHER DATA SOURCES	11-1
	11.1.		U.S. ENVIRONMENTAL PROTECTION AGENCY	
	11.2.		U.S. DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE	
	11.2.		U.S. Bureau of the Census	
	11.3.		U.S. DEPARTMENT OF LABOR	
	1110	11.3.1.	Bureau of Labor Statistics	
		11.3.2.	Occupational Safety and Health Administration	
	11.4.		U.S. DEPARTMENT OF HEALTH AND HUMAN SERVICES	
		11.4.1.	Centers for Disease Control and Prevention	
		11.4.2.	Agency for Toxic Substances and Disease Registry (ATSDR)	
		11.4.3.	National Center for Health Statistics (NCHS)	
		11.4.4.	National Institutes of Health (NIH)	
		11.4.5.	Substance Abuse and Mental Health Services Administration	
			(SAMHSA)	11-5
	11.5.		ENVIRONMENTAL DEFENSE FUND (EDF)	
	11.6.		STATE ENVIRONMENTAL PROTECTION AGENCIES	
	11.7.		ENCYCLOPEDIA OF ASSOCIATIONS	

APPENDIX I.	U.S. Census Bureau Internet Information
APPENDIX II.	U.S. Department of Labor Internet Information II-
APPENDIX III.	U.S. Department of Health and Human Services ATSDR Internet

LIST OF TABLES

Table 1-1.	Populations Potentially at Risk of Exposure to Specific Chemical(s) of Concern
Table 1-2.	Identifying Potentially Highly Exposed Populations on the Basis of Exposure Pathway
Table 1-3.	Identifying Potentially Highly Exposed Populations on the Basis of Hazardous Substance
Table 2-1.	Resident Population by Gender and Age: 1994
Table 2-2.	Resident Population by Race, Hispanic Origin Status, and Percent Distribution: 1980 to 1994
Table 2-3.	Resident U.S. Population by Age, Race, and Hispanic Origin: 1980 to 1994
Table 2-4.	Resident U.S. Population by Region, Race, and Hispanic Origin: 1990 2-17
Table 2-5.	Social and Economic Characteristics of the White and Black Populations: 1980 to 1994
Table 2-6.	Social and Economic Characteristics of the American Indian Population: 1990
Table 2-7.	Social and Economic Characteristics of the Asian and Pacific Islander Population: 1990 and 1994
Table 2-8.	Social and Economic Characteristics of the Hispanic Population: 1993 2-22
Table 2-9.	Resident Population by Households and by State: 1980 to 1994 2-23
Table 2-10.	Family and Nonfamily Households by Race, Hispanic Origin, and Type: 1970 to 1994
Table 2-11.	Urban and Rural Population, 1960 to 1990, and by State, 1990 2-25

Table 2-12.	Disability Status of Persons 21-64 Years Old: 1991-1994 2-26
Table 2-13.	Native and Foreign-Born Population by Place of Birth: 1920 to 1990 2-27
Table 2-14.	Active Duty Personnel by Service and Year: 1950 to 1993
Table 2-15.	Populations in Institutions and Other Group Quarters by Type of Group Quarters and State: 1990
Table 2-16.	Populations in Jail by Race and Detention Status: 1978 to 1994 2-30
Table 2-17.	Populations in Federal and State Prisons: 1970 to 1993 2-31
Table 2-18.	Trends in Ratio of Males to Females by Age Group, 1950 to 1994, and Projections, 2000 and 2025
Table 2-19.	Trends in Resident Population by Race, 1980 to 1995, and Projections to 2050
Table 2-20.	Trends in Resident Population by Region and Division: 1960 to 1994 2-34
Table 2-21.	Trends in Percent Distribution of Total U.S. Population Residing in Urban and Rural Areas: 1960 to 1990
Table 2-22.	Trends in Numbers of Public Aid Recipients and Average Monthly Cash Payments Under Supplemental Security Income (SSI) and Public Assistance: 1980 to 1993
Table 2-23.	Trends in Numbers of Public Aid Recipients as Percent of Total U.S. Population by State: 1990 to 1993
Table 2-24.	Trends in Immigration Rates: 1901 to 1993
Table 2-25.	Trends in Percent Distribution of Active Duty Personnel by Year: 1950 to 1993
Table 3-1.	Hazardous Waste Sites on the National Priority List by State: 1994 3-10
Table 3-2.	Sources of Data Used in Major Studies Concerning Populations Living Near Hazardous Waste Sites

Table 3-3.	Distribution of TRI Facilities and Racial/Ethnic Populations Among EPA Regions in 1990
Table 3-4.	Number and Population of Metropolitan Areas by Population Size-Class in 1990: 1980 to 1990
Table 3-5.	Metropolitan and Nonmetropolitan Population by States: 1980 to 1992 3-15
Table 3-6.	Resident Urban and Rural U.S. Population, 1960 to 1990, and by State 3-16
Table 3-7.	U.S. Population Living in Coastal Counties: 1960 to 1994
Table 3-8.	Populations Living on Selected Reservations and Trust Lands and American Indian Tribes with 10,000 or More Persons: 1990
Table 3-9.	Highway MileageFunctional Systems and Urban/Rural: 1993 3-20
Table 3-10.	Motor Vehicle Registrations, 1990 to 1993, Vehicle Miles of Travel, 1993, and Drivers Licenses, 1993, by State
Table 4-1.	Household CompositionOccupied Units
Table 4-2.	Income CharacteristicsOccupied Units
Table 4-3.	Introductory CharacteristicsAll Housing Units
Table 4-4.	FuelsAll Housing Units
Table 4-5.	Housing Units - Characteristics, by Tenure and Region
Table 4-6.	Percentage of U.S. Housing Built Before 1950 and from 1970-1979 by State
Table 4-7.	Percentage of Respondents with Attached Garages or Carports 4-20
Table 4-8.	Selected Characteristics of Households in the Target Population 4-21
Table 4-9.	Number of Households That Used Pest Control Services and Received Written Precautions in the Previous Year

Table 4-10.	Households Reporting Major Pest Problems or Problems Treated by a Household Member
Table 4-11.	Number of Households with at Least One Pesticide Product Stored Insecurely by Type of Pesticide for Households with Children Under 5 Years of Age
Table 4-12.	Estimated Thousands of Households Using Pesticides by Type of Pesticide and Site of Application
Table 4-13.	Estimated Percentage of Households Using Pesticides by Type of Pesticide and Site of Application
Table 4-14.	Residential Pool Ownership in the Continental United States 4-27
Table 4-15.	Residential Spa Ownership in the Continental United States
Table 5-1.	Estimated Number of Participants in Elementary and Secondary Education and in Higher Education: Fall 1995
Table 5-2.	Enrollment in Educational Institutions by Level and Control of Institution: Fall 1980 to Fall 2000
Table 5-3.	Enrollment in Educational Institutions by Level and Control of Institution: 1869-70 to Fall 2005
Table 5-4.	Enrollment in Public Elementary and Secondary Schools by Race or Ethnicity and State: Fall 1986 and Fall 1993
Table 5-5.	Enrollment of 3-, 4-, and 5-Year-Old Children in Preprimary Programs by Level and Control of Program and by Attendance Status: October 1965 to October 1994
Table 5-6.	Students That Attend Schools with Unsatisfactory Environmental Conditions
Table 5-7.	Students That Attended Schools with Less-Than-Adequate Physical Conditions

Table 5-8.	Estimated Percent of Schools and Number of Students Attending Schools with Unsatisfactory Environmental Conditions by Community Type
Table 5-9.	Estimated Percent of Schools and Number of Students Attending Schools with Unsatisfactory Environmental Conditions by Geographic Region
Table 5-10.	Estimated Percent of Schools and Number of Students Attending Schools with Inadequate Building Features by Community Type 5-18
Table 5-11.	Estimated Percent of Schools and Number of Students Attending Schools with Inadequate Building Features by Geographic Region 5-19
Table 5-12.	Percentage of Preschool Children Attending Center-Based Programs by Child and Family Characteristic: 1991
Table 5-13.	Hospital Utilization Rates: 1970 to 1993
Table 5-14.	Community Hospitals: 1993
Table 5-15.	Persons Receiving Care in Nursing Homes: 1980 and 1990 5-24
Table 5-16.	Nursing Home Population by Region, Division, and State: 1980 and 1990
Table 6-1.	Anglers, Hunters, and Trips, by Type of Fishing and Hunting: 1991 6-7
Table 6-2.	Anglers, Trips, and Days of Fishing by Type of Fishing: 1991 6-8
Table 6-3.	Freshwater Anglers and Days of Fishing by Type of Fish: 1991 6-9
Table 6-4.	Great Lakes Anglers and Days of Fishing by Type of Fish: 1991 6-10
Table 6-5.	Saltwater Anglers and Days of Fishing by Type of Fish: 1991 6-11
Table 6-6.	Hunters, Trips, and Days of Hunting by Type of Hunting: 1991 6-12
Table 6-7.	Big Game Hunters and Days of Hunting by Type of Game: 1991 6-13

Table 6-8.	Small Game Hunters and Days of Hunting by Type of Game: 1991 6-14
Table 6-9.	Migratory Bird Hunters and Days of Hunting by Type of Game: 1991 6-15
Table 6-10.	Hunters of Other Animals and Days of Hunting by Type of Game: 1991 6-16
Table 6-11.	Demographic Characteristics of Anglers and Hunters
Table 6-12.	Demographic Characteristics of Anglers by Type of Fishing 6-19
Table 6-13.	Demographic Characteristics of Hunters by Type of Hunting 6-21
Table 6-14.	Demographic Characteristics of Anglers and Hunters 6 to 15 Years Old: 1990
Table 6-15.	Demographic Estimates for Anglers and Hunters 6 to 15 Years Old by State of Residence in 1990
Table 6-16.	Vegetable Gardening by Demographic Factors: 1986 6-26
Table 6-17.	Characteristics of Households With a Vegetable Garden: 1976 to 1986 6-27
Table 6-18.	Percentage of Gardening Households Growing Different Vegetables: 1986
Table 6-19.	U.S. Household Participation in Lawn and Garden Activities: 1989 to 1993
Table 6-20.	Participation in Gardening: 1992
Table 6-21.	DIY Home Improvement and Repair Projects Undertaken Within the Past 12 Months
Table 6-22.	Participation in Various Home Improvement/Repair: 1992 6-32
Table 6-23.	Estimated Populations Involved in Various Hobbies 6-33
Table 6-24.	Participation in Selected Sports Activities: 1993 6-37

Table 7-1.	Employment Status of the Civilian Noninstitutional Population by Sex, Age, Race, and Hispanic Origin
Table 7-2.	Employment Status of the Civilians of Mexican, Puerto Rican, and Cuban Origin by Sex and Age
Table 7-3.	Employed White, Black, and Hispanic-Origin Workers by Sex, Occupation, Class of Worker, and Full- or Part-Time Status
Table 7-4.	Employed Civilians of Mexican, Puerto Rican, and Cuban Origin by Selected Social and Economic Categories
Table 7-5.	Employed Persons in Agriculture and Nonagricultural Industries by Age, Sex, and Class of Worker
Table 7-6.	Employed Persons by Industry, Sex, Race, and Occupation: 19947-13
Table 7-7.	Employed Persons by Detailed Industry, Sex, Race, and Hispanic Origin: 1994
Table 7-8.	Inventory of Commercial Office Space for the Largest Metropolitan Areas: 1994
Table 7-9.	Commercial Office BuildingsSelected Characteristics: 1992
Table 8-1.	Percentage of Respondents Participating in Various Activities and Spending Time in Various Locations and Microenvironments During the 24-hour Day Included in the Diary 8-13
Table 8-2.	Incidence of Pica Reported by Wives of Migrant Workers of Mexican and "Anglo" Heritage
Table 8-3.	Incidence of Geophagia Practice by Surveyed Population in Holmes County, Mississippi
Table 8-4.	Percentage of 1991 Youth Risk Behavior Survey Respondents Reporting High Health Risk Behavior by Ethnic Group 8-17
Table 8-5.	Percentage of 1991 Youth Risk Behavior Survey Respondents Reporting High Health Risk Dietary Behavior and Physical Activity by Sex, Grade, and Ethnic Group

Table 8-6.	Age-Adjusted Prevalence of Cigarette Smoking Among Black and White Men and Women Aged 35 to 74 Years by Percents (Minnesota Heart Survey)
Table 8-7.	Age- and Education-Specific Prevalence of Current Cigarette Smoking Among Black and White Men and Women (Minnesota Heart Survey) 8-20
Table 8-8.	Current Smokers' Smoking Cessation Behaviors in Percents (Minnesota Heart Survey)
Table 8-9.	Rates of Smoking Initiation by Sex, Age at Smoking Onset, and Race/Ethnicity
Table 8-10.	Rates of Smoking Initiation by Age at Smoking Onset, Race/Ethnicity, and Educational Attainment
Table 8-11.	Use of Selected Drugs by Age of User: 1993 8-24
Table 8-12.	Alcoholism Mortality Rates for American Indians and Alaska Natives by Age and Sex
Table 8-13.	Drug-Related Mortality Rates for American Indians and Alaska Natives by Age and Sex
Table 9-1.	Population Served by Public Water Systems (PWS) in the United States: 1994
Table 9-2.	Population Served by Public Water Systems (PWS) in the United States: 1993
Table 9-3.	Number of Respondents Who Obtained Water From Public and Private Water Sources for General Household Use
Table 9-4.	Number of Respondents Who Use Bottled Water for Drinking Water in the Home
Table 9-5.	Percentage of Mothers Breastfeeding Newborn Infants in the Hospital and Infants at 5 or 6 Months of Age in the United States in 1989 by Ethnic Background and Selected Demographic Variables

Table 10-1.	Preliminary Estimate of Poverty Threshold (Yearly Income of Household in Dollars): 1995
Table 10-2.	Household Income and Poverty Rates by State: 1990 and 1993 10-7
Table 10-3.	Poverty Status of Persons, Families, and Children Under 18 by Race/Ethnicity: 1959 to 1993
Table 10-4.	Persons Living in Poverty by Sex: 1966 to 1994
Table 10-5.	Selected Economic Profiles for the United States, 1990 Census 10-10
Table 10-6.	Characteristics of Individuals from Food-Sufficient and Food-Insufficient Household: Continuing Survey of Food Intake by Individuals (CSFII), 1989 Through 1991
Table 10-7.	Mean Nutrient Intakes Expressed as a Percentage of the Recommended Daily Allowances of Individual from Food-Sufficient and Food-Insufficient Household: Continuing Survey of Food Intake by Individuals (CSFII), 1989 Through 1991
Table 10-8.	Composition of the Homeless Population (Percentage) 10-13
Table 10-9.	Population, Poverty, and Unemployment Data for Survey Cities 10-14
Table 11-1.	State Environmental Protection Agencies

LIST OF FIGURES

Figure 1-1.	Risk Assessment Paradigm1-22
Figure 1-2.	Methodological Approach for Identification and Evaluation of Populations Potentially at Greater Risk
Figure 1-3.	The Three-stage Framework for Identifying, Enumerating, and Characterizing Populations Exposed to Chemical Substances 1-26
Figure 2-1.	Projected Age Distribution of the U.S. Population: 1987, 2000, 2010, and 2030
Figure 2-2.	Native American Populations Residing in EPA Regions by State: 1995 2-20
Figure 3-1.	Indian Health Service Population: Area Offices and Populations Administered by Each Office
Figure 4-1.	Percentage of Occupied and Vacant Housing Units
Figure 4-2.	Selected Features of Occupied Homes: 1993
Figure 6-1.	Participation in the 10 Most Popular Sports Activities by Sex: 1993 6-39
Figure 6-2.	Participation in Various Activities by Percentage of the Population 18 Years Old and Older: 1992